Fehlberg Method (Embedded RK formula)
- 5th order accuracy, variable time step, only

Grenerally, RK (4+m) - m orders higher than 4 - requires additional f= calls, but not more than .

Fehlberg discovered an RK5 with

k1 = hf (yn, xn)

k2 = hf (yn + b21 k1, xn + a2h)

K3 = hf (yn + b31 k1 + b32 k2, xn + a3h)

:

Yn+1 = RK5

that also yield a 4th order method (RK4) with the

y\*\*, = RK4

The a; 's and  $b_{ij}$ 's are the same for both, but the  $C_i$ 's and  $C_i^*$ 's are different.

The parameters commonly used nowadays are due to Cash and Karp (see e.g. Numerical Recipes) We get an RKS (5th order method) AND an estimate of the error.

△ =

with only 6 for calls. More efficient than RK4-based step halving/doubling.

As before, if stepsize produces we want stepsize that produces

△~ h ->

In Numerical Recipes notation

Practical implementation issues regarding

- currently an
- different for
- different components may differ
- -not general

better: want solution

set

with

- relative error tolerance

but what if y oscillates (and y =0 sometimes)?

set

In NR code

i=1,... n →

- if | y(i) | = 0,

hnew=

use largest relative

error  $\frac{\Delta_i}{\Delta_o}$  over all

components

use largest yerr (i) to control step size

Eyscale (i)

```
Alternatively, may want to limit global error
     (error at final integration point),
global error limit =
    ... want
                                (target error at a step should scale with h)
     choose \Delta_0 =
                                    as in NR's yscale
   But then our formula
                                        needs to
   change ( since global error ~ O(h4) )
    to
   Note that
                              are not too different.
  NR takes a pragmatic, general, and conservative
   approach (not increasing or decreasing h too much per step).
  When increasing step size
                                     ( A, < A, )
    use h =
   but ho =
                         (upper limit on stepsize increase)
```

When decreasing step size ( D, > Do)

use ho =

but ho >

lower limit on stepsize decrease

Also check that h does not be come too small, ie, check that

These aspects of the implementation are not rigourous, but are experience-based, practical steps to produce robust code that will solve most problems.

User must supply function that returns

and initialize ig.

Part of Project 2 deals with using NR code to solve a physics problem.

Appendix - Details on global error

$$\Delta_0$$
 - desired local error:  $\Delta_0 = \epsilon h \frac{dy}{dx}$ 

Δ3 - approx. global error:

$$\Delta_{i}^{\theta} = N \Delta_{i} \sim \Delta_{i} \sim O(h^{4}) = Dh^{4}$$

△8 - desired global error:

$$\Delta_0^2 \stackrel{:}{=} = E h \frac{dy}{dx} N \sim E h \frac{dy}{dx} \stackrel{!}{=} = E \frac{dy}{dx}$$

$$h_{\text{new}}^{4}D = \Delta_{0}^{3} \implies \frac{h_{\text{new}}^{4}}{h_{\text{new}}} = \frac{\Delta_{1}^{3}}{\Delta_{0}^{3}}$$

$$h_{new} = h \left( \frac{\Delta_0^8}{\Delta_1^8} \right)^{1/4} \sim h \left( \frac{\epsilon^{\frac{4}{3}} d_x}{\Delta_1 / h} \right)^{1/4} = h \left( \frac{\epsilon^{\frac{4}{3}} d_x}{\Delta_1} \right)^{1/4}$$