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A new ocean observing system has been launched in the North Atlantic in order to understand the linkage between the meridional overturning circulation and deep water formation.

ABSTRACT

For decades oceanographers have understood the Atlantic Meridional Overturning Circulation (AMOC) to be primarily driven by changes in the production of deep water formation in the subpolar and subarctic North Atlantic. Indeed, current IPCC projections of an AMOC slowdown in the 21st century based on climate models are attributed to the inhibition of deep convection in the North Atlantic. However, observational evidence for this linkage has been elusive: there has been no clear demonstration of AMOC variability in response to changes in deep water formation. The motivation for understanding this linkage is compelling since the overturning circulation has been shown to sequester heat and anthropogenic carbon in the deep ocean. Furthermore, AMOC variability is expected to impact this sequestration as well as have consequences for regional and global climates through its effect on the poleward transport of warm water. Motivated by the need for a mechanistic understanding of the AMOC, an international community has assembled an observing system, Overturning in the Subpolar North Atlantic (OSNAP), to provide a continuous record of the trans-basin fluxes of heat, mass and freshwater and to link that record to convective activity and water mass transformation at high latitudes. OSNAP, in conjunction with the RAPID/MOCHA array at 26°N and other observational elements, will provide a comprehensive measure of the three-dimensional AMOC and an understanding of what drives its variability. The OSNAP observing system was fully deployed in the summer of 2014 and the first OSNAP data products are expected in the fall of 2017.
INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

The ocean's Meridional Overturning Circulation (MOC) is a key component of the global climate system (IPCC AR5 2013). The MOC, characterized in the Atlantic (the AMOC) by a northward flux of warm upper-ocean waters and a compensating southward flux of cool deep waters, plays a fundamental role in establishing the mean climate state and its variability on interannual to longer time scales (Buckley and Marshall 2016; Jackson et al. 2015). Coupled with the winter release of locally stored heat, the heat advected northward as part of the upper AMOC limb (Rhines et al. 2008) keeps the northern hemisphere generally, and western Europe in particular, warmer than they would be otherwise. Variations in AMOC strength are believed to influence North Atlantic sea surface temperatures (Knight et al. 2005; Delworth et al. 2007; Robson et al. 2012; Yeager et al. 2012), leading to impacts on rainfall over the African Sahel, India and Brazil; Atlantic hurricane activity; and summer climate over Europe and North America (Knight et al. 2006; Zhang and Delworth 2006; Sutton and Hodson 2005; Smith et al. 2010). Finally, variability of the inflow of warm Atlantic waters into high latitudes has been linked to the decline of Arctic sea-ice (Serreze et al. 2007) and mass loss from the Greenland Ice Sheet (Rignot and Kanagaratnam 2006; Holland et al. 2008; Straneo et al. 2010), both of which have profound consequences for climate variability.

Though less studied than its impact on climate, the AMOC's role in the ocean carbon cycle has emerged as a recent concern. The North Atlantic is a strong sink for atmospheric CO₂ (Takahashi et al. 2009; Khatiwala et al. 2013), accounting for ~40% of the annual mean global air-sea CO₂ flux, with nearly half of that flux occurring north of 50°N. Furthermore, modeling (Halloran et al. 2015; Li et al. 2016) and observational (Sabine et al. 2004) studies show that the North Atlantic plays a crucial role in the uptake of anthropogenic carbon. The AMOC is
believed to play a strong role in creating this carbon sink (Pérez et al. 2013): in addition to
transporting anthropogenic carbon northward from the subtropical gyre (Rosón et al. 2003),
as these northward-flowing surface waters cool they absorb additional CO$_2$ that is carried to
depth when deep waters form (Steinfeldt et al. 2009). The carbon flux in the subpolar North
Atlantic is also driven by a strong, annual cycle of net community production (Kortzinger et al.
2008). AMOC variability can potentially impact this productivity if there is a disruption to the
northward flow of nutrients (Palter and Lozier 2008) or to the supply of nutrients to the
surface by convection and mixing. Thus, AMOC variability, through its direct impact on CO$_2$
uptake via transport and overturning and indirectly through its effect on ocean primary
productivity, has the potential to alter the ocean’s role as a major sink for carbon in the
subpolar North Atlantic.

With such a profound array of implications, it is no surprise that a mechanistic understanding
of AMOC variability is a high priority for the climate science community. Hypotheses
concerning what drives the overturning fall into two categories (Visbeck 2007; Kuhlbrodt et al
2007); is the AMOC “pushed” by buoyancy forcing at high latitudes, or is it “pulled” by vertical
mixing supported by wind and tidal forcing? While both mechanisms contribute to the long-
term equilibrium state of the AMOC, it is generally believed that overturning variability on
interannual to millennial time scales are linked to changes in buoyancy forcing and the
associated changes in the formation of dense water masses at high latitudes in the North
Atlantic. Below, we provide a brief review of that linkage in the modeling and observational
context.

**Linkage between convection and AMOC variability: climate models**
Current IPCC projections of AMOC slowdown in the 21st century based on an ensemble of climate models (see IPCC AR5 2013, Figures 12-35) are widely attributed to the inhibition of deep convection at high latitudes in the North Atlantic. Similarly, simulations using 20th century coupled ocean-sea ice models also find that AMOC intensification is connected to increased deep water formation in the subpolar North Atlantic (Danabasoglu et al. 2016). This link between AMOC strength and North Atlantic water mass production was made explicit in a study of climate models where a freshwater anomaly was spread uniformly over the subpolar domain (Stouffer et al. 2006). These “hosing” experiments yielded AMOC decreases, with concomitant decreases in surface air and water temperatures in the high-latitude North Atlantic. However, the adequacy of coarse resolution models to simulate the ocean’s dynamical response to freshwater sources has been called into question in the past few years. For example, Condron and Winsor (2011) argue that the climatic response to anomalous freshwater input needs to be studied with models that resolve the dynamics of narrow, coastal flows into and around the North Atlantic basin. Similarly, although a growing number of model simulations suggest that present day and projected ice loss from the Greenland Ice Sheet may affect the AMOC, the nature and magnitude of the prescribed freshwater fluxes may not appropriately describe how and where Greenland meltwater enters the ocean (Straneo and Heimbach 2013). Clearly, observational studies are needed to guide and constrain modelling efforts aimed at understanding the mechanistic link between convective activity and AMOC variability.

Linkage between convection and AMOC variability: observations

Dense water formation in the Nordic Seas and in the North Atlantic subpolar gyre (NASPG) produces the water masses in the AMOC lower limb (Figure 1). The deepest constituents of the
lower limb originate as dense intermediate waters formed in the Nordic Seas. These waters, referred to collectively as overflow waters (OW), flow over the shallow sills of the Greenland-Scotland Ridge (GSR) into the North Atlantic: to the east of Iceland is the Iceland-Scotland Overflow Water (ISOW), which has traditionally been thought to follow the topography around the Reykjanes Ridge to the Irminger Basin where it joins the deeper, denser Denmark Strait Overflow Water (DSOW). The shallowest component of the AMOC lower limb is the intermediate water produced by deep convection within the NASPG itself. Though this water mass is referred to as Labrador Sea Water (LSW), it is the product of the cumulative transformation of subtropical waters as they flow around the NASPG.

No conclusive observational evidence for a link between dense water formation in the Labrador Sea and AMOC variability has emerged to date (Lozier 2012). The product of that dense water formation - Labrador Sea Water – is exported out of the basin via a deep western boundary current. As such, that boundary current has been closely monitored over the past two decades. Measurements of that boundary current east of the Grand Banks at 43⁰N during 1993 to 1995 and then again from 1999 to 2001 showed that transport in the LSW density range was remarkably steady despite the fact that LSW production was considerably weaker during the latter time period (Clarke et al. 1998; Meinen et al. 2000; Schott et al. 2006; Lazier et al. 2002). Similarly, Dengler et al. (2006) found a strengthening of the Deep Labrador Current at 53⁰N over the time period of a well-documented decrease in convection. Finally, Pickart et al. (1999) showed that, equatorward of the Grand Banks, the Deep Western Boundary Current (DWBC) appears weaker when it advects a larger fraction of LSW. As with LSW, there has been no conclusive observational evidence linking the formation of Nordic Seas overflow waters with AMOC variability (Jochumsen et al. 2012; Hansen and Østerhus 2007).
One possible reason for the lack for a clear connection between convection and AMOC variability is that not all of the export pathways of dense waters have been monitored. The DWBC has traditionally been considered the sole conduit for the lower limb of the AMOC. However, this assumption has been challenged by observational and modeling studies that reveal the importance of interior, as well as boundary, pathways (e.g., Bower et al. 2009; Holliday et al. 2009; Stramma et al. 2004; Xu et al. 2010; Lozier et al. 2013).

Secondly, a direct link between LSW formation and the AMOC has been called into question as more has been learned about the constraints on the spreading of this water away from formation sites (Send and Marshall 1995; Spall and Pickart 2001; Spall 2004; Straneo 2006; Deshayes et al. 2009). Essentially, the compilation of studies over the past decade yields a description of LSW production whereby the properties and transport variability within the DWBC are not a sole function of deep water formation. Instead, boundary current transport, property gradients between the interior and the boundary current and the strength of the eddy field all play a role in setting the exit transport and properties. Finally, the linkage between AMOC variability and deep water formation can be impacted by wind-driven changes in the basin. Since the density field near the basin boundaries sets the overall shear of the basinwide geostrophic circulation, wind-forced changes in that density field can modify AMOC strength (Hirschi and Marotzke 2007).

In summary, while modeling studies have suggested a linkage between deep water mass formation and AMOC variability, observations to date have been spatially or temporally compromised and therefore insufficient to either support or rule out this connection.
Current observational efforts to assess AMOC variability in the North Atlantic

The UK-US RAPID/MOCHA program at 26°N successfully measures the AMOC in the subtropical North Atlantic via a trans-basin observing system (Cunningham et al. 2007; Kanzow et al. 2007; McCarthy et al. 2015). While this array has fundamentally altered the community’s view of the AMOC, modeling studies over the past few years have suggested that AMOC fluctuations on interannual time scales are coherent only over limited meridional distances. In particular, a break point in coherence may occur at the subpolar/subtropical gyre boundary in the North Atlantic (Bingham et al. 2007; Baehr et al. 2009). Furthermore, a recent modeling study has suggested that the low-frequency variability at the RAPID/MOCHA array appears to be an integrated response to buoyancy forcing over the subpolar gyre (Pillar et al. 2016). Thus, a measure of the overturning in the subpolar basin contemporaneous with a measure of the buoyancy forcing in that basin likely offers the best possibility of understanding the mechanisms that underpin AMOC variability. Finally, though it might be expected that the plethora of measurements from the North Atlantic would be sufficient to constrain a measure of the AMOC within the context of an ocean general circulation model, recent studies (Cunningham and Marsh 2010; Karspeck et al. 2015) reveal that there is currently no consensus on the strength or variability of the AMOC in assimilation/reanalysis products.

OSNAP OBJECTIVES

Given the imperative of understanding AMOC variability and based on recommendations of the ocean science community (US CLIVAR report 2007; Cunningham et al. 2010), an international team of oceanographers has developed an observing system for sustained trans-basin measurements in the subpolar North Atlantic, called Overturning in the Subpolar North...
Atlantic Program (OSNAP). OSNAP, deployed in the summer of 2014, is measuring the full-depth mass fluxes associated with the AMOC, as well as meridional heat and freshwater fluxes.

The specific objectives of the OSNAP program are to:

1. Quantify the subpolar AMOC and its intra-seasonal to interannual variability via overturning metrics, including associated fluxes of heat and freshwater.

2. Determine the pathways of overflow waters in the NASPG to investigate the connectivity of the deep boundary current system.

3. Relate AMOC variability to deep water mass variability and basin-scale wind forcing.

4. Determine the nature and degree of the subpolar-subtropical AMOC connectivity.

5. Determine from OSNAP observations the configuration of an optimally efficient long-term AMOC monitoring system in the NASPG. Such a determination will include the use of numerical model results, satellite altimetry, Argo data and other NASPG observations as needed.

**OSNAP DESIGN**

OSNAP is a trans-basin observing system (Figures 2 and 3a) that consists of two legs: one leg extends from southern Labrador to southwestern Greenland and the other from southeastern Greenland to the coast of Scotland. The two legs are situated to capitalize on a number of existing long-term observational efforts in the subpolar North Atlantic: the Canadian repeat AR7W program in the Labrador Sea; the German Labrador Sea western boundary mooring array at 53°N; repeat A1E/AR7E hydrographic sections across the Irminger and Iceland Basins (approximately coincident with OSNAP East); the western part of the biennial OVIDE line in the Irminger Sea and over the Reykjanes Ridge (Mercier et al. 2015); and the Ellett line (Holliday et al. 2015) in the Rockall region. Importantly, two of the four moorings that form the US Global
OOI (Ocean Observatories Initiative) Irminger Sea node were placed along the OSNAP line (Figure 3b) in August of 2014, thereby enhancing the ability of the OSNAP array to capture the full breadth of the deep currents in this basin. OSNAP also complements a new Canadian program in the Labrador Sea (VITALS: Ventilation Interactions and Transports Across the Labrador Sea) focused on carbon and oxygen cycles. VITALS will provide information on gas uptake and water mass formation north of the OSNAP West line, complementing the water mass information provided by the annual survey of the AR7W line (Yashayaev 2007).

Mooring arrays have been deployed at the continental boundaries and on the eastern and western flanks of the Reykjanes Ridge (Figure 4). The OSNAP Reykjanes Ridge moorings are complemented by those from the French Reykjanes Ridge Experiments (RREX; Figure 3c), an observational and modeling effort designed to study the processes controlling the dynamical connections between the two sides of the Reykjanes Ridge. Additional full-depth moorings containing T/S sensors have been placed at key locations to estimate geostrophic transports (Figure 4). Additionally, in the eastern basin, a suite of gliders is measuring properties across the Rockall-Hatton Basin and westward into the Iceland basin (Figures 3a and 4b). Finally, acoustically tracked deep floats (RAFOS) have been released on the OSNAP lines to study the connectivity of overflow water pathways between moored arrays and to aid the interpretation of the Eulerian measurements (Figure 3a).

The effectiveness of the proposed OSNAP design has been tested using a series of OSSE (Observing System Simulation Experiment) where basin-width integrated fluxes calculated from subsampled model fields are compared to the model “truth” or reference fluxes. OSNAP OSSE were conducted using ORCA025, an intermediate resolution, or eddy-permitting,
configuration of the Nucleus for European Modeling of the Ocean (NEMO; Madec 2008). The OSSE mean overturning transports for 1990-2004 are within one standard deviation of the mean transports for the model truth, calculated over the same time period: for OSNAP West the model truth mean transport in density space is 7.65 ± 1.68 Sv, while the OSSE mean transport is 7.78 ± 1.73 Sv; for OSNAP East the model truth mean transport is 13.65 ± 1.56 Sv, while the OSSE mean transport is 12.97 ± 2.56 Sv. Furthermore, the proposed design does an impressive job of capturing the overturning variability, with a correlation of 0.89 (0.85) between the OSSE and the reference time series for OSNAP West (East). Comparisons of heat and freshwater fluxes are also favorable: for OSNAP West, the total heat flux is 0.10 ± 0.02 PW for both the model truth and the OSSE (R= 0.94), and the total freshwater flux relative to the section mean salinity is -0.17 ± 0.04 Sv for the OSSE and -0.16 ± 0.04 for the model truth (R= 0.90); for OSNAP East, the total heat flux is 0.36 ± 0.04 PW for the model truth and 0.33 ± 0.05 PW for the OSSE (R= 0.83), and the total freshwater flux relative to the section mean salinity is -0.14 ± 0.05 Sv for both the model truth and the OSSE (R= 0.98). All correlation coefficients in parentheses above denote agreement between the model truth and the OSSE time series. Readers are referred to the OSNAP technical report (http://www.osnap.org/observations/technical-report/) for details on the calculation methodology and for information on steps we are currently taking to improve our estimates.

PROGRESS TO DATE

Due to cost considerations, some of the OSNAP arrays are on a one-year replacement schedule; others on a two-year schedule. Thus, the complete suite of data necessary to produce time series of the volume, heat and freshwater fluxes across the OSNAP line will not be available until September of 2016. However, in addition to these basin integral measures, the OSNAP
program will produce, and indeed is already producing, observations of the circulation and
property fields across the subpolar gyre. Data that have been collected to date, discussed
below, reveal the rich spatial and temporal variability of those fields. The OSNAP observational
program is complemented by modeling, theoretical and data analyses efforts that aim to 1)
place the observations in a broader spatial and temporal context and 2) link the observations
to forcing mechanisms. Preliminary efforts toward this end are also discussed below. Please
note that the sections below do not constitute a preliminary look at the comprehensive
measurements that will result from the entire suite of OSNAP data, namely the volume, heat
and freshwater transports. Rather, the sections below illustrate the wide variety of
investigations possible under the OSNAP program.

First look at the OSNAP cross-section velocity field

The OSNAP line was first surveyed with a Conductivity-Temperature-Depth (CTD) section in
June-July 2014 on RRS James Clark Ross, providing the first modern, quasi-synoptic,
hydrographic and biogeochemical section from North America to Europe at subpolar latitudes
(King and Holliday 2015; see Keike and Yashayaev (2015) for a review of other hydrographic
surveys in the subpolar basin). The cross-section geostrophic velocity field from the survey
illustrates the complexity of the circulation in this region (Figure 5, derived from CTD profiles,
the thermal wind equation, and a reference velocity from lowered ADCP, following the method
in Holliday et al. 2009). The warm North Atlantic Current (NAC) can be seen as two major
shallow and surface-intensified currents in the Iceland Basin, plus a jet in the western Rockall
Trough, and the cooler Irminger Current on the west side of the Reykjanes Ridge. Between the
major currents there are transient eddies and more persistent topographically steered
recirculation features. In the Irminger and Labrador Seas the fast gyre boundary currents can
be seen tight against the continental slopes of Greenland and Canada. In the western gyre the boundary currents are deep-reaching features, linking the surface circulation to the cold, deep overflow waters (< 3.0 °C). In contrast, from the western side of the Reykjanes Ridge across to Rockall, the upper ocean is often moving in a direction opposing that of the deepest layers. In the Iceland Basin the multiple current cores of overflow waters lie under a thick layer of slowly-circulating LSW and are also subject to recirculation (e.g., southward cores at ~2300 and ~2450 km, and recirculation at ~2400 and ~2600 km in Figure 5). The OSNAP moorings and Lagrangian observations of overflow pathways will help put these synoptic observations into context, as well as reveal variability on time scales shorter than the time it takes to complete a synoptic survey.

*Hydrography across the Irminger and Labrador Seas: signatures of strong convection*

The deployment of the OSNAP array in the summer of 2014 was auspiciously timed, as revealed by a hydrographic survey along the OSNAP line in the Irminger Sea in the summer of 2015 (de Steur 2015). The survey revealed a large body of water with high dissolved oxygen content and low salinity that fills the central part of the basin at upper to intermediate depths (Figure 6a). Year-round observations from a profiling mooring in the Irminger gyre confirm that this water was formed locally in the strong winter of 2014/2015 when mixed layer depths reached down to 1400 m (de Jong and de Steur 2016). The first time series from the OOI Irminger Sea global node (Figure 6b) shows the sharp increase in oxygen concentration as convection deepens the mixed layer from November to December. These observations confirm the role of the Irminger Sea as a convective basin in addition to the Labrador Sea as suggested earlier by Pickart et al. (2003). In the Labrador Sea strong convection also took place in the winter of 2014/2015 (Yashayaev and Loder 2016, in review). In addition to this signature of
deep convection, the survey also shows the familiar features of the warm and saline Irminger
Current on the eastern and western boundaries of the basin, as well as the cold, dense and
oxygen rich DSOW carried along the East Greenland slope by the DWBC. A new feature,
however, is the signature of stirring between the interior waters (high in oxygen) and
boundary current water (low in oxygen) that appears over the western flank of the Mid-
Atlantic Ridge. Interestingly, deep convection and enhanced mesoscale eddy exchange, may
well be related; a connection that will be investigated in detail with OSNAP data.

The evolution of convection in the Labrador basin during the winter of 2014/2015 will be
revealed once data from the OSNAP West arrays (on a two-year schedule) are retrieved. The
analysis of that data will be advantaged by the fact that there have been sustained observations
in the basin interior (see K1 in Figure 3a) and in the boundary current off the Labrador coast
since 1997 (see German boundary array in Figure 3a; Fischer et al. 2004), well before the
deployment of the OSNAP array in the summer of 2014. Observations from both sites allow for
the study of how convectively transformed waters from the Labrador Sea are exported to the
boundary current, as well as a study of water mass transformation within the boundary
current itself. The simultaneous observations at K1 and K9 since 2009 have offered an
interesting contrast. As seen in Figure 7a, the 2013/2014 winter was characterized by a
positive North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO) index that has persisted to present
(www.cpc.ncep.noaa.gov). An increase in surface buoyancy loss over the Labrador Sea during
this positive NAO index period goes along with an abrupt change in mixed-layer depths in
excess of 1500m in the boundary current (at K9; see location in Figure 3a) and in the central
Labrador Sea (at K1), a situation last documented in the 2007/2008 winter (Kieke and
Yashayaev 2015). While the 2013/2014 response is similar at both sites (though stronger in
the interior, at K1), the boundary current response to the 2011/2012 NAO forcing is decidedly weaker. Though it has been pointed out that the NAO index does not optimally indicate buoyancy forcing changes in the Labrador Sea (e.g. Grist et al. 2015), these observations alone highlight the fact that the dynamical link between deep convection in the Labrador Sea and the export of newly formed deep waters in the boundary current remains unresolved. Results from the OSNAP array will enable an investigation of the link between deep mixing, the net water mass formation and the dynamics of the export.

Glider observations in the eastern subpolar region

Some areas across the OSNAP line have been monitored or at least intermittently measured for years, e.g., the waters of the DWBC off the Labrador coast. However, in other areas there are only sparse historical observations, particularly of the flow field, a prime example of which is the Rockall Plateau. Though these observations have been too few to estimate the circulation in this region, ocean model simulations indicate that 2-5 Sv of northward flow should be found here, a sizeable contribution to the total northward flow across the OSNAP East line. However, because the plateau is shallow, no Argo floats are deployed across or drift over the plateau, and because of fishing activities moorings are unlikely to survive. Thus, gliders were chosen to provide property, transport and flux measurements across the plateau. Ten OSNAP glider sections were realized between 21°W and 15°W from July 2014 to November 2015 of which three are shown in Figure 8. Data from past glider missions and real-time data from current missions may be viewed at http://velocity.sams.ac.uk/gliders/.

A remarkable feature of these measurements is the signature of intense vertical mixing that occurred in the 2014/2015 winter. This mixing deepened the mixed layer to 700 m (Figure
and resulted in the formation of anomalously large volumes of Subpolar Mode Water (SPMW) in the density range $\sigma_\theta = 27.3$ to 27.4. In a recent paper, Grist et al. (2015) show how excess formation of Subpolar Mode Water (SPMW) in winter (2013-2014) relates to extreme North American temperatures and record-breaking precipitation over the UK during that winter. This volume of SPMW in the density range of $\sigma_\theta = 27.3$ to 27.4 is capped by seasonal stratification (Figure 8a). In the following winter of 2014-2015 (Figure 8b) intense vertical mixing deepens the mixed layer to 700m. By the following summer Figure 8c) the SPMW is again capped by seasonal stratification and there is a larger, denser, volume of SPMW than the previous year. These first observations confirm that the OSNAP glider across the Rockall Plateau is well placed to observe the evolution of SPMW and to quantify ocean-atmosphere dynamic exchanges.

Gliders are also being employed to enhance the OSNAP data coverage in the eddy-rich region of the Iceland Basin where the NAC flows northward across the section, often in multiple branches (Figure 5). One glider, deployed on the OSNAP-East line in June 2015 and recovered in November 2015, accomplished 519 profiles with a depth range between 0-1000 m while patrolling between moorings M3 and M4 (green line, Figure 3a). A replacement glider, deployed in November 2015, has executed 370 profiles as of March 2016 and is expected to operate until July 2016 when it will be recovered and replaced.

Between July and November 2015, a strong anticyclonic eddy was sampled by a glider between the M3 and M4 moorings (Figure 9). An anticyclonic eddy is often present in this region, and is a feature of the long-term (20-year) mean Absolute Dynamic Topography (ADT; the altimeter ADT products were produced by Ssalto/Duacs and distributed by Aviso, with support from Cnes <
Despite rotational currents that affected its path, the glider successfully produced a hydrographic section that shows relatively warm, salty and high oxygen waters for the eddy core, indicating that the water trapped in the eddy is probably recently ventilated water from the NAC. The eddy moved northeastward by the time of the second glider deployment, revealing the relatively smooth front separating the warm, salty and low oxygen water in the east from the relatively cold, fresh and high oxygen water in the west. The high-resolution sections of temperature, salinity, and geostrophic velocity across this region provided by the gliders will lead to increased accuracy in estimates of heat and freshwater flux over that available from the mooring observations and Argo data alone.

**Complementary model and data-based analyses**

In order to quantify the transformation of the warm waters of the AMOC upper limb that flow northward across the OSNAP line into cooler waters that return southward at depth across the line, information is needed on the surface fluxes of heat and fresh water responsible for the transformation. A Regional Thermohaline Inverse Method (RTHIM), which extends the Walin (1982) water mass transformation framework to two water mass coordinates (Groeskamp et al. 2014), quantifies this transformation using surface fluxes from climate reanalysis and observations from Argo floats and satellite altimetry. Importantly, RTHIM provides an estimate of the volume fluxes (AMOC) independent of the OSNAP array observations. RTHIM has been successfully validated against a numerical simulation of the subpolar/Arctic region using a 1° ORCA model and further validation, including more realistic boundary currents and mesoscale eddies, is underway. The method’s strength is that it allows for a determination of the relative importance of interior mixing and surface fluxes to the transformation of water masses in the subpolar/Arctic region. Given that surface flux observations in the Arctic are sparse, we plan...
to use several reanalysis products, recently evaluated in Lindsay at al. (2014), to derive a set of
RTHIM solutions and uncertainties. When applied to the observations, this technique will
provide a proxy measure of the AMOC over a longer time span leading up to OSNAP, helping us
place the variability observed by the array in a broader temporal context.

To better understand, and ultimately predict, interannual and decadal variability in the AMOC,
a quantification of its sensitivity to changes in surface forcing is needed. This quantification is
most efficiently accomplished using an adjoint modelling approach, which provides the linear
sensitivity of the AMOC at a single latitude to changes in surface forcing over the globe, for all
forcing lead times (Pillar et al. 2016). Sensitivity distributions of the AMOC at 25°N and 50°N to
surface heat flux anomalies throughout the Atlantic basin are compared in Figure 10b-e for
forcing at lead times of 3 and 8 years. Differences in these sensitivity distributions indicate key
regions and lead times at which surface heat flux anomalies may force a notable deviation
between the response of the AMOC observed at the RAPID/MOCHA and OSNAP monitoring
arrays.

To further illustrate this point, we show the sensitivity of the AMOC at 25°N (blue) and 50°N
(green) to surface heat flux anomalies integrated over the subpolar gyre, as a function of
forcing lead time (up to 15 years), in Figure 10a. Examination of this spatially-integrated
sensitivity is useful for approximating the AMOC response to regional heat flux anomalies of
the same sign, such as those associated with the NAO (e.g., Eden and Jung 2001). At 25°N, the
AMOC response to NAO-type heat fluxes over the subpolar gyre oscillates in sign on decadal
timescales (Czeschel et al. 2010). In contrast, at 50°N, the AMOC response to the same forcing
notably diminishes for forcing lead times exceeding 5 years, due to large cancellation in the
integral associated with smaller scale structures in the sensitivity distributions (Figure 10c).
These results highlight the need to further explore the full spatial structure of AMOC sensitivity and better constrain variations in surface buoyancy forcing, supporting the expectation that subpolar monitoring under OSNAP will be invaluable in helping us to understand - and possibly predict - low frequency variability in the AMOC at the RAPID/MOCHA array.

**ANTICIPATED OSNAP DATA PRODUCTS AND TIMELINE**

OSNAP data products will parallel those of the RAPID/MOCHA program, namely, time series of the overturning circulation as well as the depth and zonally-integrated heat and freshwater fluxes. The OSNAP overturning metric will be reported in both depth and density coordinates. The OSNAP PIs are committed to a timely delivery of OSNAP products. The earliest expected delivery of the first OSNAP products is one year following the retrieval of all data necessary for the calculations, i.e., early fall of 2017. Please see www.o-snap.org for further information on OSNAP, including cruise reports, blogs and technical information on all OSNAP arrays.

**SUMMARY**

For decades oceanographers have assumed the AMOC to be highly susceptible to changes in the production of deep waters at high latitudes in the North Atlantic. A new ocean observing system is now in place that will test that assumption. Early results from the OSNAP observational program reveal the complexity of the velocity field across the section and the dramatic increase in convective activity during the 2014/2015 winter. Early results from the gliders that survey the eastern portion of the OSNAP line have illustrated the importance of these measurements for estimating meridional heat fluxes and for studying the evolution of subpolar mode waters. Finally, numerical modeling data has been used to demonstrate the efficacy of a proxy AMOC measure based on a broader set of observational data and an adjoint
modelling approach has shown that measurements in the OSNAP region will aid our mechanistic understanding of the low-frequency variability of the AMOC in the subtropical North Atlantic.

Finally, we note that while a primary motivation for studying AMOC variability comes from its potential impact on the climate system, as mentioned above, additional motivation for the measure of the heat, mass and freshwater fluxes in the subpolar North Atlantic arises from their potential impact on marine biogeochemistry and the cryosphere. Thus, we hope that this observing system can serve the interests of the broader climate community.
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**FIGURE CAPTIONS**

**Figure 1.** Schematic of the major warm (red to yellow) and cold (blue to purple) water pathways in the North Atlantic subpolar gyre (credit: H. Furey, WHOI). Acronyms not in the text: Denmark Strait (DS); Faroe Bank Channel (FBC); East and West Greenland Currents (EGC, WGC); North Atlantic Current (NAC); DSO (Denmark Straits Overflow); ISO (Iceland-Scotland Overflow).

**Figure 2.** Schematic of the OSNAP array. The vertical black lines denote the OSNAP moorings with the red dots denoting instrumentation at depth. The thin gray lines indicate the glider survey. The red arrows show pathways for the warm and salty waters of subtropical origin; the light blue arrows show the pathways for the fresh and cold surface waters of polar origin; and the dark blue arrows show the pathways at depth for waters that originate in the high latitude North Atlantic and Arctic.

**Figure 3.** (a) OSNAP observing system: From west to east: Canadian [CA] shelfbreak array and *German [DE] 53°N western boundary array; US West Greenland boundary array; US/UK East Greenland boundary array; Netherlands [NL] western Mid-Atlantic Ridge array; US eastern Mid-Atlantic Ridge array; Chinese [CN] glider survey in the Iceland Basin; UK glider survey over the Hatton-Rockall Bank; UK Scottish Slope current array. Green dots: 2014 US float launch sites. Green line: Chinese glider; red line: UK glider. Blue circles: US sound sources. Purple dashed lines: repeated hydrographic sections. AR7E line is not shown since it mostly overlaps with the OSNAP East line from Greenland to Scotland. The light gray lines represent the 1000m-, 2000m- and 3000m-isobaths. Moorings within the black dashed boxes are specified in Figures 3b and 3c. (b) *OOI Global Irminger Sea Array (blue triangles), *German CIS mooring, and *Dutch LOCO mooring. The OOI FLMA and FLMB moorings are on the OSNAP East line (black line). (c) *RREX mooring array (white triangles) and OSNAP moorings on the
flanks of the Reykjanes Ridge. The RREX IRW, IRM and IRE moorings are on the OSNAP East line (black line). In (b) and (c) bathymetry (m) is contoured. An asterisk indicates an observing element that, though used by OSNAP, either existed before the OSNAP program or came online the same time. All other elements were designed specifically for OSNAP.

**Figure 4.** Schematic of moorings along (a) OSNAP West and (b) OSNAP East. The instrument types are as indicated by legend: CTD – Conductivity-Temperature-Depth; CM – Current Meter; ADCP – Acoustic Doppler Current Profiler; MP – Moored profiler. Glider domain is indicated by shaded box: red – Chinese glider, blue – UK glider. Vertical gray lines over the western flank of the Reykjanes Ridge (~600-750 km) along OSNAP East illustrate three French moorings as part of the RREX program. Black contours are 2005-2012 mean salinity from WOA13. Enlarged figures are available on the OSNAP website: http://www.o-snap.org/observations/configuration/

**Figure 5.** Observations on the OSNAP section in June-July 2014; cross-section velocity in color (positive is poleward, m$^{-1}$), and potential temperature (°C) (referenced to surface) as contours. Major currents are indicated: Labrador Current (LC), Labrador Sea Boundary Current (BC), West Greenland Current (WGC), East Greenland Current (EGC), Irminger Current (IC), North Atlantic Current (NAC).

**Figure 6.** (a) Hydrography in the Irminger Sea observed in July 2015. Dissolved oxygen values are plotted with color shading (μmol kg$^{-1}$). The colored lines are salinity contours plotted at 0.04 intervals. CTD station locations are indicated with triangles at the top. (b) Time-series of dissolved oxygen (μmol kg$^{-1}$) from the OOI HYPM mooring, whose location is indicated with a black circle in (a). White areas denote missing data.

**Figure 7.** (a) Winter (DJFM) mean NAO index. (b) and (c) show time-series of temperature from the K1 and K9 moorings, respectively. The mooring locations are shown in Figure 3a.
Figure 8. Three glider sections on Rockall Plateau along 58°N in (a) July/August 2014, (b) January 2015 and (c) July 2015. Labels on the left and right side of the sections indicate the date at the beginning and end of the section [ddmmyy]. Contours are of salinity (color), potential temperature (white dashed lines) and potential density (black dashed line) referenced to the surface. The mixed layer depth, calculated using a reference level at 10 m depth and a criterion $\Delta \sigma_\theta = 0.03$ kg m$^{-3}$, is shown by the red line. The profile path taken by the glider is V-shaped, with a typical horizontal separation of 2-6 km. Descent and ascent speed is $\sim$ 10-20 cm s$^{-1}$ and forward speed is $\sim$ 20-40 cm s$^{-1}$. Vertical resolution of sampling is $\sim$ 0.5-1.5 m above the main pycnocline and $\sim$ 1.5-3 m below.

Figure 9. (a) Absolute Dynamic Topography (m) between 23 July and 2 August, 2015, showing an anti-cyclonic eddy on the ONSAP line. The two black squares denote moorings M3 and M4 and a black line represents the sampling path. The temperature (°C), salinity and dissolved oxygen (µmol kg$^{-1}$) data recorded by the glider during the eddy scenario are shown in (b), (c), (d), respectively.

Figure 10. (b-e) Linear sensitivity of the AMOC at (d, e) 25°N and (b, c) 50°N in January to surface heat flux anomalies per unit area. Positive sensitivity indicates that ocean cooling leads to an increased AMOC, e.g. in the upper panels, a unit increase in heat flux out of the ocean at a given location will change the AMOC at (d) 25°N or (e) 50°N 3 years later by the amount shown in the colorbar. The contour intervals are logarithmic. (a) The time series show linear sensitivity of the AMOC at 25°N (blue) and 50°N (green) to heat fluxes integrated over the subpolar gyre (black box with surface area $\sim$6.7x10 m$^2$) as a function of forcing lead time. The reader is referred to Pillar et al. (2016) for model details and to Heimbach et al. (2011) and Pillar et al. (2016) for a full description of the methodology and discussion relating to dynamical interpretation of the sensitivity distributions.
**Figure 1.** Schematic of the major warm (red to yellow) and cold (blue to purple) water pathways in the North Atlantic subpolar gyre (credit: H. Furey, WHOI). Acronyms not in the text: Denmark Strait (DS); Faroe Bank Channel (FBC); East and West Greenland Currents (EGC, WGC); North Atlantic Current (NAC); DSO (Denmark Straits Overflow); ISO (Iceland-Scotland Overflow).
Figure 2. Schematic of the OSNAP array. The vertical black lines denote the OSNAP moorings with the red dots denoting instrumentation at depth. The thin gray lines indicate the glider survey. The red arrows show pathways for the warm, and salty waters of subtropical origin; the light blue arrows show the pathways for the fresh and cold surface waters of polar origin; and the dark blue arrows show the pathways at depth for waters formed via convections in the high latitude North Atlantic and Arctic.
Figure 3. (a) OSNAP observing system: From west to east: Canadian [CA] shelfbreak array and *German [DE] 53°N western boundary array; US West Greenland boundary array; US/UK East Greenland boundary array; Netherlands [NL] western Mid-Atlantic Ridge array; US eastern Mid-Atlantic Ridge array; Chinese [CN] glider survey in the Iceland Basin; UK glider survey over the Hatton-Rockall Bank; UK Scottish Slope current array. Green dots: 2014 US float launch sites. Green line: Chinese glider; red line: UK glider. Blue circles: US sound sources. Purple dashed lines: repeated hydrographic sections. AR7E line is not shown since it mostly overlaps with the OSNAP East line from Greenland to Scotland. The light gray lines represent the 1000m-, 2000m- and 3000m-isobaths. Moorings within the black dashed boxes are specified in Figures 3b and 3c. (b) *OOI Global Irminger Sea Array (blue triangles), *German CIS mooring, and *Dutch LOCO mooring. The OOI FLMA and FLMB moorings are on the OSNAP East line (black line). (c) *RREX mooring array (white triangles) and OSNAP moorings on the flanks of the Reykjanes Ridge. The RREX IRW, IRM and IRE moorings are on the OSNAP East line (black line). In (b) and (c) bathymetry (m) is contoured. An asterisk indicates an observing element that, though used by OSNAP, either existed before the OSNAP program or came online the same time. All other elements were designed specifically for OSNAP.
Figure 4. Schematic of moorings along (a) OSNAP West and (b) OSNAP East. The instrument types are as indicated by legend: CTD – Conductivity-Temperature-Depth; CM – Current Meter; ADCP – Acoustic Doppler Current Profiler; MP – Moored profiler. Glider domain is indicated by shaded box: red – Chinese glider, blue – UK glider. Vertical gray lines over the western flank of the Reykjanes Ridge (~600-750 km) along OSNAP East illustrate three French moorings as part of the RREX program. Black contours are 2005-2012 mean salinity from WOA13. Enlarged figures are available on the OSNAP website: http://www.osnap.org/observations/configuration/
**Figure 5.** Observations on the OSNAP section in June-July 2014; cross-section velocity in color (positive is poleward, ms$^{-1}$), and potential temperature ($^\circ$C) (referenced to surface) as contours. Major currents are indicated: Labrador Current (LC), Labrador Sea Boundary Current (BC), West Greenland Current (WGC), East Greenland Current (EGC), Irminger Current (IC), North Atlantic Current (NAC).
Figure 6. (a) Hydrography in the Irminger Sea observed in July 2015. Dissolved oxygen values are plotted with color shading (μmol kg$^{-1}$). The colored lines are salinity contours plotted at 0.04 intervals. CTD station locations are indicated with triangles at the top. (b) Time-series of dissolved oxygen (μmol kg$^{-1}$) from the OOI HYPM mooring. The location of this mooring is indicated with white circle in (a).
Figure 7. (a) Winter (DJFM) mean NAO index. (b) and (c) show time-series of temperature from the K1 and K9 moorings, respectively. The mooring locations are shown in Figure 3a.
Figure 8. Three glider sections on Rockall Plateau along 58°N in (a) July/August 2014, (b) January 2015 and (c) July 2015. Labels on the left and right side of the sections indicate the date at the beginning and end of the section [ddmmmyy]. Contours are of salinity (color), potential temperature (white dashed lines) referenced to surface and potential density (black dashed line) referenced to surface. The mixed layer depth, calculated using a reference level at 10 m depth and a criterion $\Delta \sigma_\theta = 0.03$ kg m$^{-3}$, is shown by the red line. The profile path taken by the glider is V-shaped, with a typical horizontal separation of 2-6 km. Descent and ascent speed is $\sim 10$-$20$ cm s$^{-1}$ and forward speed is $\sim 20$-$40$ cm s$^{-1}$. Vertical resolution of sampling is $\sim 0.5$-$1.5$ m above the main pycnocline and $\sim 1.5$-$3$ m below.
Figure 9. (a) Absolute Dynamic Topography (m) between 23 July and 2 August 2015, showing an anti-cyclonic eddy on the ONSAP line. The two black squares denote moorings M3 and M4 and a black line represents the sampling path. The temperature (°C), salinity and dissolved oxygen (µmol kg⁻¹) data recorded by the glider during the eddy scenario are shown in (b), (c), (d), respectively.
Figure 10. (b-e) Linear sensitivity of the AMOC at (d, e) 25°N and (b, c) 50°N in January to surface heat flux anomalies per unit area. Positive sensitivity indicates that ocean cooling leads to an increased AMOC, e.g., a unit increase in heat flux out of the ocean at a given location will change the AMOC at (d) 25°N or (e) 50°N 3 years later by the amount shown in the colorbar. The contour intervals are logarithmic. (a) The time series show linear sensitivity of the AMOC at 25°N (blue) and 50°N (green) to heat fluxes integrated over the subpolar gyre (black box with surface surface area ~6.7x10^12 m^2) as a function of forcing lead time. The reader is referred to Pillar et al. (2016) for model details and to Heimbach et al. (2011) and Pillar et al. (2016) for a full description of the methodology and discussion relating to dynamical interpretation of the sensitivity distributions.